IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 334 of 2007
Date of Decision: 9.7.2007

Harjit Kaur and others ...Petitioners

Versus

Dr. R.N. Doake and others ....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Hemant Gupta.

Present: Shri PPS Duggal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Shri G.S. Cheema, Senior DAG, Punjab.

HEMANT GUPTA, J.

The grievance of the petitioners is that the order passed by this Court on 17.11.2005 has not been complied with as the Deputy Superintendent of Police, has not provided the protection to the petitioner.

In reply, it has been pointed out that with the intervention of the respectables, the petitioner compromised the matter with respondent Nos. 3 and 4 vide Annexure R.1 dated 14.12.2006. It has been further pointed out that on account of a complaint, the proceedings under Sections 107/150 Cr.P.C. were initiated as a preventive measures against respondent Nos. 3 and 4. It has also been stated that two security guards were provided for the protection of the petitioner vide diary No. 32 dated 3.2.2006 as well.

Though the petitioner has filed an affidavit dated 5.4.2007 to the effect that no compromise has been effected and that she

has given many complaints to the Police Station, Tanda and Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur but surprisingly, the petitioner has not made any reference to the writing Annexure R.1 dated 14.12.2006. It has not been pointed out that the said writing does not bear the signatures of the petitioner. Be that as it may, but the fact remains that the authorities have taken steps in terms of the directions issued by this Court. It cannot be said that there is any violation of the order passed by this Court, which may necessitate the invocation of the contempt jurisdiction.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is dismissed.

However, it shall be open to the petitioners to avail their alternative remedy, in case there is any subsisting grievance.

09-07-2007 (HEMANT GUPTA)
ds JUDGE